

United States Patent and Trademark Office

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/620,726	. 07/15/2003		Charles L. Gray JR.	310121.404	9061	
34212	7590	07/26/2005		EXAMINER		
SEED INT		UAL PROPERTY	AVERY, BRIDGET D			
SUITE 6300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SEATTLE,	WA 9810	04-7092	3618			

DATE MAILED: 07/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/620,726	GRAY, CHARLES L.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Bridget Avery	3618				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the period for reply will, by state that the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tute, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed)) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13	April 2005.	•				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is FINAL .	his action is non-final.					
,— ···	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 17,18 and 28-40 is 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3,5,7-10,12,19-25 and 27 is/are in 7) Claim(s) 4,6,11,13-18 and 26 is/are objected are subject to restriction and 	s/are withdrawn from considerati rejected. d to.	ion.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to t						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a l	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appl riority documents have been rec eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No ceived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	_					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		mary (PTO-413) lail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

M

Application/Control Number: 10/620,726

Art Unit: 3618

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of Invention I (claims 1-27), Species I (Figures 1, 2A, 2B, and 3) and Sub Species I (Figures 5-7) in the reply filed on May 13, 2005 is acknowledged.
- 2. Claims 17, 18 and 28-40 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention and species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on May 13, 2005.
- 3. An action on the merits of claims 1-16 and 19-27 follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 4. Claims 5 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 5. In claims 5 and 12, applicant's recitation of "the spacer is a shim, bushing, spring, or any other similar device" is unclear rendering the claim indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Application/Control Number: 10/620,726

Art Unit: 3618

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-3, 7-10, 19-25 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Carpenter (US Patent 3,960,284).

McGowan teaches an assembly similar to applicant's including:

- > A first and second pump/motor (50, 68)
- > A first and second drive plate assembly (60)
- > A common shaft (66)
- > The plate assemblies (60) are in hard contact with a first end of the shaft (66) in a plane perpendicular to the longitudinal axis of the shaft (66)
- The plate assemblies and shaft acting as a solid element when under compression to cancel axial loads generated by the pump/motors (50, 68) through the shaft (66)
- > A first and second annular bearing (76, 78)
- ➤ A torque transferring assembly/unit (32) see also column 1, lines 5-9

 Re claims 25 and 27, the method of operating a plurality of pump motors and the method of improving the efficiency of two or more opposing pump/motors is inherently disclosed. The rational for this inherency is that the prior art device, in its normal and usual operation, would necessarily perform the claimed method. See MPEP 211.02.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 4, 6, 11, 13-16 and 26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

8. Claims 5 and 12 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Porter shows an on-demand all wheel drive system

Bowen shows an on-demand two-speed transfer case for four-wheel drive hybrid vehicle.

Eickmann shows an axial piston motor or pump with arrangement to thrust the rotor against a shoulder of the shaft.

Leonard shows a pump-motor power limiter and pressure relief.

Takao et al. shows a hydraulic motor unit.

Aschke shows a hydrostatic pump/motor unit.

Chichester et al. shows a hydrostatic propulsion system.

Carpenter shows hydraulic backhoe circuitry.

Art Unit: 3618

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Bridget Avery at telephone number 703-308-2086.

July 20, 2005

CHRISTOPHER P. ELLIS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600